China Property Focus

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

SECTOR IN-DEPTH

28 May 2021

Rate this Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

National property sales growth slowed in April but remained strong	2
Rated developers' financial leverage will improve but profit margins will contract	4
Rated developers' offshore bond issuance increased in May	5
Liquidity stress indicator improved in April 2021	6
Five rating actions from 29 April to 25 May	7
Appendix I	8
Appendix II	9
Appendix III	13
Moody's related publications	15

Contacts

Kelly Chen +852.3758.1505 AVP-Analyst chenkelly.chen@moodys.com

 Franco Leung, CFA
 +852.3758.1521

 Associate Managing Director
 franco.leung@moodys.com

Gary Lau	+852.3758.1377
MD-Corporate Finance	
gary.lau@moodys.com	

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

Property – China

China Property Focus: Rated developers' profit margins will contract in 2021-22

- » National property sales growth slowed in April but remained strong. Year-onyear national contracted sales value growth decelerated to 63.0% in April (three-month moving average) from a high level of 95.5% in March. Such strong growth was primarily driven by the low base caused by the coronavirus disruptions in the first four months of 2020. We expect that annualized national sales value growth will slow to 5%-8% over the next 6-12 months, compared with the 10%-15% annual growth in 2018-2020.
- » **Rated developers' financial leverage will improve but profit margins will contract.** We expect developers' weighted average revenue/adjusted debt will improve in 2021-22 from 2020. Solid contracted sales during the past 1-2 years will support revenue growth, and debt growth will be slow because of regulatory controls and developers' efforts to scale back land acquisitions as sales growth slows. Meanwhile, their weighted average profit margin will contract because of rising land costs and property price controls by local governments in many high-tier cities.
- Rated developers' offshore bond issuance increased in May. Offshore bond issuance by our rated developers increased to \$5.1 billion in May (up to 25 May) from \$1.95 billion in April, compared with average monthly issuance of \$4.4 billion in 2020, as investor sentiment recovered, although capital markets remain volatile. Onshore bond issuance declined to RMB13.2 billion in May (up to 25 May) from RMB26.5 billion in April; weaker than the average monthly issuance of RMB19.7 billion in 2020.
- » Liquidity stress indicator improved in April. Our Asian Liquidity Stress sub-indicator for rated high-yield Chinese developers improved to 15.3% in April from 18.6% in March.
- » Five rating actions from 29 April 2021 to 25 May 2021. We took two negative and one positive rating actions during the period, and assigned new ratings to two developers.

Moody's China Property Dashboard

No. of high yield (HY) rated developers with w	eak liquidity		Bond issuance (\$ billion)		
	Apr 21	Mar 21		Onshore	Offshore
# of HY developers with SGL-4	9	11	Jan-May 21	16.3	24.9
% of total HY developers	15.3%	18.6%	2020	36.2	52.8
Developers with highest YTD sales growth			No. of rating actions	29 Apr 2	1 - 25 May 21
Jan-Apr 2021	Name	%		Positive	Negative
Sales growth	1 Hopson	195%	Rating actions	1	2
	2 Greentown	160%	New rating assignments		2
year-on-year -	3 Powerlong	139%	% of rated developers with positive outlook and RUR Up		11%
			% of rated developers with negative outlook and RUR Down		11%

National property sales growth slowed in April but remained strong

- » Year-on-year national contracted sales value growth slowed in April to 63.0% (three-month moving average) from 95.5% in March (Exhibit 1). Year-on-year sales volume growth (three-month moving average) dropped to 43% in April from 68% in March.
- » Sales growth will remain high for the first half of 2021 because of the low base in the same period in 2020, and the pace will slow as this year progresses, reflecting the higher base in the second half of 2020.
- » Property prices increased in Tier 1 and Tier 2 cities in April, to 5.8% and 5.0% respectively, from 5.2% and 4.9% in March, while in lower-tier cities growth remained at 3.7%, the same as March, according to residential property sales prices in 70 large and medium-sized cities released by the National Bureau of Statistics (Exhibit 2).
- » Year-on-year contracted sales value growth (three-month moving average) for the 30 developers we track (of the 72 we rate) decreased to 51% in April from 66.1% in March (Exhibit 3). The growth rate in April was lower than that of the broader market over the same period mainly because their sales were less affected by COVID disruptions last year.
- » The following developers had the largest year-on-year contracted sales growth in the first four months of 2021: <u>Hopson</u> <u>Development Holdings Limited</u> (B2 stable) (up 195%), <u>Greentown China Holdings Limited</u> (Ba3 stable) (160%) and <u>Powerlong</u> <u>Real Estate Holdings Limited</u> (B1 positive) (139%).

Exhibit 1

National sales value growth decelerated in April 2021



Sources: National Bureau of Statistics of China, company information

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



Year-on-year growth of new residential property prices in higher-tier cities increased in April 2021

Tier 1 cities: Beijing, Guangzhou, Shanghai and Shenzhen. Tier 2 cities included in this exhibit: Tianjin, Shijiazhuang, Taiyuan, Shenyang, Dalian, Changchun, Harbin, Nanjing, Hangzhou, Ningbo, Hefei, Fuzhou, Xiamen, Nanchang, Jinan, Qingdao, Zhengzhou, Wuhan, Changsha, Nanning, Haikou, Chongqing, Chengdu, Guiyang, Kunming, Xi'an, Lanzhou, Xining, Yinchuan, Urumqi, Wuxi, Hohhot and Sanya. Lower-tier cities in this exhibit are the remaining 70 major cities not listed above. Source: National Bureau of statistics of China



Exhibit 2

Year-to-date contracted sales and year-on-year growth of the 30 tracked developers we track



Sources: Company information, China Real Estate Information System

Exhibit 4





Tier 1 cities: Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou and Shenzhen. Tier 2 cities included in this exhibit: Tianjin, Chongqing, Shenyang, Fuzhou, Hangzhou, Zhengzhou, Nanjing, Wuhan, Xiamen, Xian, Changsha, Ningbo, Qingdao, Changchun, Suzhou and Chengdu. Lower-tier cities included in this exhibit: Tonglu, Lin'an, Jiande, Dongying, Huzhou, Jiangyin, Wuhu, Huaibei and Wenzhou.

Source: China Real Estate Information System

Rated developers' financial leverage will improve but profit margins will contract

- » In our recently published 2020 financial result scorecard report (<u>"Property China: Developers' leverage will improve further</u> <u>through 2022, but margin will contract</u>", 28 April), we expect credit metrics for the 45 rated developers (of the 72 we rate) to improve in 2021 and 2022.
- » Leverage, measured by weighted average revenue/adjusted debt, will improve to around 78% in 2021 and around 82% in 2022 from 72% in 2020 (Exhibit 5), because of revenue growth supported by solid contracted sales in the previous 1-2 years, while debt growth will be under control.
- » We expect the developers' weighted average gross profit margin will contract to around 25% in 2021 and around 24% in 2022 from 26% in 2020 and 30% in 2019 because of rising land costs and property price controls by local governments in many higher-tier cities.
- » Interest coverage, measured by weighted average EBIT/interest, will improve to around 3.1x in 2021 and around 3.3x in 2022 from 2.7x in 2020 (Exhibit 6) as revenue growth more than offsets the impact of the small gross margin contraction.
- » Most developers have adequate liquidity to address refinancing needs. Their weighted average cash/short-term debt ratio remained healthy at 1.5x at the end of 2020, up slightly from 1.4x at the end of 2019.

Exhibit 5

Weighted average revenue/adjusted debt will improve in 2021 and 2022 with pickup in revenue growth and controlled debt growth



Data cover the 45 B- to Baa-rated Chinese property developers included in the report. Sources: Company data, Moody's Financial Metrics, Moody's Investors Service estimates

Exhibit 6

Interest coverage will increase in 2021 and 2022 as mild margin contraction will partly offset the effect of revenue growth



Data cover the 45 B- to Baa-rated Chinese property developers included in the report. Sources: Company data, Moody's Financial Metrics, Moody's Investors Service estimates

Rated developers' offshore bond issuance increased in May

- » Offshore bond issuance increased to \$5.1 billion in May (up to 25 May) from \$1.95 billion in April and \$1.96 billion in March (Exhibit 8). Sentiment recovered somewhat following negative news that included <u>China Huarong Asset Management Co., Ltd.</u> (Baa1 RUR) delaying the publishing of its annual results, and the default of <u>China Fortune Land Development Co., Ltd.</u> (Rating withdrawn). We expect the offshore capital markets to remain volatile and sensitive to negative news.
- » Meanwhile, onshore bond issuance by our rated developers declined to RMB13.2 billion in May 2021 (up to 25 May).

Exhibit 7 Bond issuance in May 2021 compared with the monthly average in 2020

	Period			
	May 2021	April 2021	Average Monthly Issuance in 2020	
Offshore Bond Issuance	\$5.1 billion	\$1.95 billion	\$4.4 billion	
Onshore Bond Issuance	RMB13.2 billion	RMB26.5 billion	RMB19.7 billion	
	(or \$2.0 billion equivalent)	(or \$4.1 billion equivalent)	(or \$3.0 billion equivalent)	

Up to 25 May 2021.

Sources: Bloomberg, Wind, Moody's Investors Service

» Despite tightening funding conditions in the property sector, we expect large and financially sound developers to maintain access to onshore and offshore bond markets for refinancing in the rest of 2021.

Exhibit 8 Offshore bond issuance increased in May 2021



Up to 25 May 2021.

Sources: Bloomberg, Wind, Moody's Investors Service

Liquidity stress indicator improved in April 2021

- » Our Asian Liquidity Stress sub-indicator for rated high-yield Chinese developers improved in April to 15.3%, from 18.6% in March (Exhibit 9) because we have withdrawn the rating of one developer with weak liquidity. At the same time, one developer's liquidity improved, and we have a new rated developer with adequate liquidity.
- » Of the 59 developers with a high-yield rating as of 30 April, nine had weak liquidity, of which eight were rated at single-B level or below.
- » Rated developers' refinancing needs remain high over the next 12 months, with around \$37.7 billion equivalent of onshore bonds and \$40.8 billion of offshore bonds maturing or subject to put options in the 12 months from 1 June 2021 (Exhibit 10).
- » Small and financially weak developers are likely to face higher refinancing pressure in both the onshore and offshore markets because banks and investors will prefer lending to the financially strong developers with sizable scale.

Exhibit 9 15.3% of rated Chinese high-yield property developers have weak liquidity



This sub-indicator measures the percentage of high-yield Chinese property companies with our weakest speculative grade liquidity score (SGL-4). This sub-indicator increases as liquidity weakens across the portfolio and decreases as liquidity broadly improves. *Source: Moody's Investors Service*

Exhibit 10





Up to 25 May 2021.

Sources: Bloomberg, Wind and Moody's Investors Service

Five rating actions from 29 April to 25 May

Date	Company Name	Current Rating / Outlook	Rating action
30-Apr-21	Golden Wheel Tiandi Holdings Company	B3 Negative	Rating downgrade and outlook change
	Limited	-	
5-May-21	Sichuan Languang Development Co., Ltd.	B2 Stable	Rating downgrade
11-May-21	Leading Holdings Group Ltd	B2 Stable	New rating
13-May-21	Shinsun Holdings (Group) Co., Ltd.	B2 Stable	New rating
25-May-21	China SCE Group Holdings Limited	B1 Positive	Rating affirmation and outlook change

Exhibit 11

Rating actions on Chinese property developers (as of 25 May 2021)



Source: Moody's Investors Service

Exhibit 12

Rating outlooks of Chinese property developers (as of 25 May 2021)



Source: Moody's Investors Service

Appendix I

Exhibit 13



ASP = average selling price.

Source: China Real Estate Information System

Appendix II

Exhibit 14

Rated developers' offshore bonds due or puttable before 31 May 2022

China Evergrande Group Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd.	USD1,473	21-Jun
Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd.		21-Juli
	USD300	21-Jun
Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	USD346	21-Jun
Times China Holdings Limited	USD100	21-Jun
Yanlord Land Group Limited	SGD45.5	21-Jun
Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	USD241	21-Jun
China Overseas Grand Oceans Group Ltd.	USD500	21-Jun
Zhongliang Holdings Group Company Limited	USD97	21-Jun
Yincheng International Holding Co., Ltd.	USD140	21-Jun
Jiayuan International Group Limited	USD150	21-Jun
Hopson Development Holdings Limited	USD500	21-Jun (Puttable)
Sunac China Holdings Limited	USD650	21-Jul
RiseSun Real Estate Development Co., Ltd.	USD250	21-Jul
Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	USD100	21-Jul
DaFa Properties Group Limited	USD280	21-Jul
Agile Group Holdings Limited	USD600	21-Jul
Sino-Ocean Group Holding Limited	USD486	21-Jul
Greenland Hong Kong Holdings Limited	USD300	21-Jul
Beijing Capital Land Limited	USD400	21-Aug
Dexin China Holdings Company Limited	USD258	21-Aug
Jiangsu Zhongnan Construction Grp Co., Ltd.	USD200	21-Aug
KWG Group Holdings Limited	USD350	21-Aug
Logan Group Company Limited	USD300	21-Aug
Ronshine China Holdings Limited	USD560	21-Aug
Zhenro Properties Group Limited	USD230	21-Aug
China South City Holdings Limited	USD200	21-Aug
Zhenro Properties Group Limited	CNY1000	21-Aug
Beijing Capital Land Limited	USD200	21-Aug
Puttable and Maturities from Jun 2021 - Aug 2021	USD 9,394	

Puttable and Maturities from Jun 2021 - Aug 2021	USD 9,394
Puttable and Maturities from Sep 2021 - May 2022	USD 31,450
Puttable and Maturities for the next 12 months	USD 40,844

Update to 25 May. Sources: Bloomberg, company information Exhibit 15

Rated developers' onshore bonds due or puttable before 31 May 2022

Issuer	Outstanding Amount (RMB Billion)	Due/Puttable Date
Beijing Capital Land Limited	2.0	Jun-21
Guangzhou R&F Properties Co., Ltd.	0.1	Jun-21
Gemdale Corporation	1.0	Jun-21
Gemdale Corporation	1.0	Jun-21 (Puttable)
Yango Group Co., Ltd	1.0	Jun-21
Huayuan Property Co., Ltd.	1.0	Jun-21 (Puttable)
Zhenro Properties Group Limited	0.4	Jun-21 (Puttable)
Jiangsu Zhongnan Construction Grp Co., Ltd.	1.2	Jun-21 (Puttable)
Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited	0.3	Jun-21
Sichuan Languang Development Co., Ltd.	1.1	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Sichuan Languang Development Co., Ltd.	0.9	Jul-21
Sichuan Languang Development Co., Ltd.	0.7	Jul-21
Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd.	1.6	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Fantasia Holdings Group Co., Limited	0.8	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Logan Property Holdings Company Limited	2.0	Jul-21
Ronshine China Holdings Limited	2.9	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Longfor Group Holdings Limited	3.0	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Longfor Group Holdings Limited	0.5	Jul-21
Yuexiu Property Company Limited	2.0	Jul-21
Jiangsu Zhongnan Construction Grp Co., Ltd.	1.1	Jul-21
Shimao Group Holdings Limited	0.4	Jul-21
Country Garden Holdings Company Limited	0.5	Jul-21
Gemdale Corporation	1.0	Jul-21 (Puttable)
China Vanke Co., Ltd.	3.0	Jul-21
Poly Developments and Holdings Group Co Ltd	2.5	Jul-21
Beijing Capital Land Limited	0.5	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Beijing Capital Land Limited	0.1	Jul-21
Beijing Capital Land Limited	0.4	Jul-21
KWG Group Holdings Limited	1.3	Jul-21
KWG Group Holdings Limited	2.0	Jul-21
China Aoyuan Group Limited	0.3	Jul-21
China Aoyuan Group Limited	0.1	Jul-21
China South City Holdings Limited	0.2	Jul-21 (Puttable)
Puttable and Maturities from Jun 2021 - Jul 2021	36.6	
Puttable and Maturities from Aug 2021 - May 2022	209.5	
Puttable and Maturities for the next 12 months	246.1	

Update to 25 May. Sources: Bloomberg, Wind and company information

Exhibit 16

Rated developers' offshore note issuance in April and May 2021 (up to 25 May 2021)

· ·					
Issuer	Month	Debt	Coupon (%)	Amount (Millions)	Tenor (Years)
Jiangsu Zhongnan Construction Grp Co., Ltd.	Apr-21	Bond	11.5	USD250	3.0
Jingrui Holdings Limited	Apr-21	Bond	12.5	USD157	2.5
Logan Group Company Limited	Apr-21	Bond	4.25	USD300	4.3
Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited	Apr-21	Bond	3.9	USD200	1.0
Times China Holdings Limited	Apr-21	Bond	5.3	USD200	1.0
Zhenro Properties Group Limited	Apr-21	Bond	5.98	USD220	1.0
Country Garden Holdings Company Limited	Apr-21	Bond	3.75	MYR100	1.0
China Jinmao Holdings Group Limited	Apr-21	Bond	3.2	USD600	5.0
Country Garden Holdings Company Limited	May-21	Bond	4.9	MYR50	5.0
Sino-Ocean Group Holding Limited	May-21	Bond	3.25	USD400	5.0
Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	May-21	Bond	11.7	USD1000	4.5
Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited	May-21	Bond	4.9	USD200	5.0
KWG Group Holdings Limited	May-21	Bond	6	USD378	5.3
Agile Group Holdings Limited	May-21	Bond	5.5	USD300	5.0
CIFI Holdings (Group) Co. Ltd.	May-21	Bond	4.45	USD350	5.3
CIFI Holdings (Group) Co. Ltd.	May-21	Bond	4.8	USD150	7.0
Jiayuan International Group Limited	May-21	Bond	11	USD130	2.8
Hopson Development Holdings Limited	May-21	Bond	7	USD300	3.0
Yanlord Land Group Limited	May-21	Bond	5.125	USD500	5.0
Zhongliang Holdings Group Company Limited	May-21	Bond	8.5	USD300	1.0
Redsun Properties Group Limited	May-21	Bond	7.3	USD210	3.0
China Vanke Co., Ltd.	May-21	Bond	3.45	CNY1445	3.0
Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd.	May-21	Bond	6.85	USD325	3.0
Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	May-21	Bond	11.65	USD300	5.0
Q1 2021 Total				\$17,885	
Apr-May 2021 Total				\$7,031	

2020 Bond Total	\$52,764
2019 Bond Total	\$69,413

Sources: Bloomberg, company information

Exhibit 17

Rated developers' onshore note issuance in April and May 2021 (up to 25 May 2021)

Issuer	Month	Coupon %	Amount (RMB Billion)	Tenor (Years)
Gemdale Corporation	Apr-21	3.91	2.5	5(3)
Gemdale Corporation	Apr-21	4.30	0.5	5
Agile Group Holdings Limited	Apr-21	5.90	1.5	3(1)
Gemdale Corporation	Apr-21	3.10	0.8	0.68
Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd.	Apr-21	5.90	0.8	0.71
Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited	Apr-21	6.50	1.5	5(2)
China Jinmao Holdings Group Limited	Apr-21	3.74	3.0	3
Seazen Holdings Co., Ltd.	Apr-21	6.30	0.8	3(2)
Poly Developments and Holdings Group Co Ltd	Apr-21	3.55	2.5	3
Hengda Real Estate Group Company Limited	Apr-21	7.00	8.2	5(3)
China Vanke Co., Ltd.	Apr-21	3.52	2.0	3
Beijing Capital Land	Apr-21	4.19	1.9	5(3)
Shimao Group Holdings Limited	Apr-21	5.50	0.6	2
China Resources Land Limited	May-21	3.84	1.5	5
China Resources Land Limited	May-21	3.50	3.0	3
China Resources Land Limited	May-21	3.29	1.5	2
Sino-Ocean Group Holding Limited	May-21	4.20	2.6	5(3)
China Vanke Co., Ltd.	May-21	3.40	1.0	5(3)
China Vanke Co., Ltd.	May-21	3.70	0.6	7(5)
Longfor Group Holdings Limited	May-21	3.50	1.5	5(3)
Longfor Group Holdings Limited	May-21	3.93	1.5	7(5)
Q1 2021 Total			66.5	
Apr-May 2021 Total			39.7	
2020 Bond Total			236.2	
2019 Bond Total			150.9	

Sources: Bloomberg, Wind and company information

Appendix III

Rated Chinese property developers (as of 25 May 2021)

Exhibit 18

Issuer	Issuer Rating/Corporate Family Rating	Bond Rating	Outlook
China Overseas Land & Investment Limited (COLI)*	Baa1	Baa1	Stable
China Resources Land Limited*	Baa1	Baa1	Stable
China Vanke Co., Ltd.*	Baa1	N/A	Stable
China Overseas Grand Oceans Group Limited (COGO)	Baa2	Baa2	Stable
Longfor Group Holdings Limited*	Baa2	Baa2	Stable
Poly Developments and Holdings Group Co Ltd*	Baa2	N/A	Stable
China Jinmao Holdings Group Limited*	Baa3	Baa3	Stable
Country Garden Holdings Company Limited*	Baa3	Baa3	Stable
Hengli (Hong Kong) Real Estate Limited	Baa3	Baa3	Stable
Sino-Ocean Group Holding Limited*	Baa3	Baa3	Stable
Yuexiu Property Company Limited*	Baa3	Baa3	Stable
Shimao Property Holdings Limited*	Ba1	N/A	Positive
Greenland Holding Group Company Limited	Ba1	Ba2^	Stable
Seazen Group Limited*	Ba1	Ba2^	Stable
Seazen Holdings Co., Ltd.	Ba1	Ba1	Stable
CIFI Holdings (Group) Co. Ltd.*	Ba2	Ba3^	Stable
Gemdale Corporation*	Ba2	 N/A	Stable
Greenland Hong Kong Holdings Limited*	Ba2	Ba3^	Stable
Agile Group Holdings Limited*	Ba2	Ba3^	Stable
Yanlord Land Group Limited	Ba2	Ba3^	Stable
Logan Property Holdings Company Limited*	Ba2	Ba3^	Stable
Beijing Capital Land Limited*	Ba3	N/A	Stable
Central China Real Estate Limited*	Ba3	B1^	Stable
Famous Commercial Limited	Ba3	Ba3	Stable
Greentown China Holdings Limited*	Ba3	Ba3	Stable
RiseSun Real Estate Development Co., Ltd.	Ba3	Bas B1^	Stable
Road King Infrastructure Limited	Ba3	Ba3	Stable
Sunac China Holdings Limited*	Ba3	Bas B1^	Positive
Times China Holdings Limited*	Ba3	B1^	Stable
China Aoyuan Group Limited*	B1	B1**	Stable
Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited*	B1	B2^	Positive
Zhongliang Holdings Group Company Limited	B1	B2^	Positive
China SCE Group Holdings Limited*	B1	B2^	Positive
Huayuan Property Co., Ltd.	BI	B2^	Stable
International Financial Center Property Ltd.	BI	B1	Stable
	B1	B2^	Stable
Jiangsu Zhongnan Construction Grp Co., Ltd.	B1	B2^	Positive
Jinke Property Group Co., Ltd. Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd	B1B1	B2^	Stable
<u>KWG Group Holdings Limited*</u> Radiance Holdings (Group) Co. Ltd.	B1	N/A	Stable
	B1	N/A	Stable
Ronshine China Holdings Limited	B1	B2^	Stable
Yango Group Co., Ltd	B1	B2^	Stable
Zhenro Properties Group Limited	B1	B2^	Stable
China Evergrande Group*	B1	B2^	Negative
Guangzhou R&F Properties Co., Ltd.*	B1	N/A	Negative
Hengda Real Estate Group Company Limited	B1	N/A	Negative
Yuzhou Group Holdings Company Limited*	B1	B2^	Negative
Sichuan Languang Development Co., Ltd.	B2	B3^	Stable
Zensun Group Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Redsun Properties Group Limited	B2	B3^	Positive
DaFa Properties Group Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Dexin China Holdings Company Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Fantasia Holdings Group Co., Limited*	B2	B3^	Stable
Leading Holdings Group Ltd	B2	N/A	Stable

Guangzhou Fineland Real Estate Development	B2	B3^	Stable
Helenbergh China Holdings Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Hopson Development Holdings Limited*	B2	N/A	Stable
Jiayuan International Group Limited	B2	B3^	Positive
Jingrui Holdings Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Landsea Green Properties Co., Ltd.	B2	B3^	Stable
Modern Land (China) Co., Limited	B2	B3^	Stable
Sinic Holdings (Group) Company Limited	B2	N/A	Stable
Sunriver Holding Group Company Limited	B2	N/A	Stable
Yincheng International Holding Co., Ltd.	B2	N/A	Stable
Datang Group Holdings Ltd.	B2	N/A	Stable
China South City Holdings Limited	B2	N/A	Stable
Rongan Property Co., Ltd.	B2	N/A	Stable
R&F Properties (HK) Company Limited	B2	N/A	Negative
Tianji Holding Limited	B2	B2	Negative
Shinsun Holdings (Group) Co., Ltd.	B2	N/A	Stable
Golden Wheel Tiandi Holdings Company Limited	B3	B3	Negative
Tahoe Group Co., Ltd	Caa3	Ca^	Negative

A The lower bond rating reflects subordination risk. * Included as 30 track developers. The rated entities covered in this report include companies with primary operations in property development in China but exclude REITs, Ping An Real Estate Company Ltd. (Baa2 stable), Pingan Real Estate Capital Limited (Baa3 stable), Beijing Capital Group Co., Ltd. (Baa3 stable) and Zhengzhou Zhongrui Industrial Group Co., Ltd (B3 stable), with the latter two engaging in material non-property development businesses. *Source: Moody's Investors Service*

Moody's related publications

Rating Actions:

- » Moody's changes China SCE's outlook to positive from stable; ratings affirmed, 25 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns B2 to Kaisa's proposed USD notes, 24 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns B3 rating to Redsun's proposed USD notes, 17 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns first-time B2 rating to Shinsun; outlook stable, 13 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns a B2 rating to Leading Group, outlook stable, 11 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns Baa3 rating to Country Garden's proposed USD notes, 11 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns B3 to Jiayuan's proposed USD notes, 11 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns Ba3 rating to Yanlord's proposed USD notes, 11 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns Ba3 to CIFI's proposed USD notes, 10 May 2021
- » Moody's assigns B2 to Powerlong Real Estate's proposed USD notes, 6 May 2021
- » Moody's downgrades Languang Development's CFR to B2; outlook stable, 5 May 2021
- » Moody's downgrades Golden Wheel to B3; outlook negative, 30 April 2021

Issuer Comments / Issuer In-Depth:

- » <u>Redsun Properties Group Limited: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$210m 7.3% Senior Green Notes due 2024</u>, 20 May 2021
- » Jiayuan International Group Limited: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$130m 11% Senior Notes due 2024, 20 May 2021
- » <u>CIFI Holdings (Group) Co. Ltd.: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$350m/US\$150m 4.45%/4.8% Senior Notes due</u> 2026/2028, 19 May 2021
- » Powerlong Real Estate Holdings Limited: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$200m 4.9% Senior Notes due 2026, 19 May 2021
- » Yanlord Land Group Limited: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$500m 5.125% Green Senior Notes due 2026, 17 May 2021
- » Central China Real Estate Limited: Proposed spin-off of entrustment management business is credit negative, 14 May 2021
- » China Evergrande Group: Disposal of Evergrande Vehicle's equity interest is credit positive, 13 May 2021
- » Kaisa Group Holdings Ltd: Covenant Quality Post-Sale Snapshot: US\$500m 11.7% Senior Notes due 2025, 4 May 2021

Sector Comments / Sector In-Depth:

- » China Property Focus: Rated developers will maintain access to financing, 30 April 2021
- » China property: Credit outlook and regulatory updates, 29 April 2021
- » Property China Developers' leverage will improve further through 2022, but margin will contract, 28 April 2021
- » Property China Stable outlook reflects solid sales growth, inventory levels, continued funding access, 21 April 2021

Rating Methodology:

» Homebuilding And Property Development Industry, January 2018

To access any of these reports, click on the link above. Note that these references are current at the date of publication of this report and that more recent reports may be available. All research may not be available to all clients. © 2021 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER NOT AND DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating sopinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <u>www.moodys.com</u> under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1285672

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE